

# Humidity Chamber Update 16.05.2014 – JRA Meeting Matt Barrett

# Project goal



Develop a humidity chamber which has:

- faster and better controlled temperature and humidity response
- the ability to access large a T and RH range
- adaptability to different neutron instrument geometry
- option for multi-sample holder









### Year 1:

Review the existing systems determine the specifications of the next-generation chambers (proposal suggested goal of 10 mK stability in T and 0.1% stability in RH)

### Year 2:

**Produce drawings** 

Year 3:

Build and commission chamber





# Participants



Partners





### Observers









energie atomique • energies alternatives



# **Biological studies with Humidity**



### Variable Humidity

- Stalk formation and membrane fusion
- Dehydration protection (sugars)



## High Humidity (Bio-conditions)

- Lipid rafts
- Response to disease
- Function of medications



Kučerka, Biophysical Journal, 2005







# **Biological studies with Humidity**



Kučerka, Biophysical Journal, 2005



# Humidity control techniques



#### (Saturated salt solution



Figure 4. Saturated salt humidity chamber. Hauß, V1, HZB.

 / precise and reliable (tables available)
/ no calibration necessary
X discrete humidity steps
X slow equilibration times

#### Gas vapour flow

 ✓ continuous humidity range possible
✓ automated

humidity change (with mass flow controllers)



Figure 5. Humidity control setup Salditt, IRP.ª

fast equilibration time

X upper limit of humidity ~95%

X temperature gradients in cell or tubing could cause condensation

#### Bulk water



Figure 6. Reflectometry bulk water cell. Harroun, CINS.° 100% relative humidity achievable

quick deuterium
contrast change in-situ
sample loss to bulk
solution (charged lipids)
Iimited to reflectometry

## Temperature controlled



v quick contrast

Figure 7. Temp. controlled cell Rheinstädter, McMaster.



Figure 8. Temp. controlled cell. Heinrich, NIST.

✓ high (>95% r.h.) possible

quick deuterium contrast in-situ

★ temperature gradients (from Peltier or external) lead to condensation

X difficult to calibrate heaters for desired r.h.





# Working principle



$$r.h. = \frac{partial \ vapour \ pressure}{saturation \ vapour \ pressure}$$
$$\log_{10} P = 5.402 - \frac{1838.7}{T(K) - 31.7}$$

Bridgeman and Aldrich, 1964





# Simulation verification

Zentrum Berlin





 Extreme temperature control, 0.002°C across our sample limited only by heater accuracy

 ~0.01% gradient in r.h.



# Prototype construction





Accessories: Pipes, stilts, guiding posts, connectors (Wilson seals, KF Flanges)



5a

- ILL and HZB making parts, completed by end of May?
- First offline tests in Sept, in beam test, summer





## Steps to new geometery







## Suggestions for design modifications



1. Forced T gradient in upper cell *not* necessary ( $T_1=T_2$  is ok)

2. Stretched upper cell (maximize distance between  $T_1, T_2$ )

3. Heater on top of post, under goniometer  $(T_1=T_2=T_4)$ 

4. 'Thermalizer' plate and mesh of high conductivity (placed above  $T_2$ )

5. Redesign of bottom cell: completely decouple  $T_2$  from  $T_3$  (stainless steel?)

All proceeding simulations with plastic goniometer and quartz sample unless otherwise stated





### 2. Stretched upper cell (max. distance between T1,T2

40% rh parametric study of T2 chiller height, plastic goniometer base fixed at 0.048 m



### 2. Stretched upper cell (max. distance between T1,T2

40% rh parametric study of T2 chiller height, plastic goniometer base fixed at 0.048 m



## 3. Heater on top of post (T1=T2=T4)



### 40% (r.h.) study of temperature gradients with and without heater on top of post







# 4. Thermalizer plate and mesh of high conductivity (placed above T2)

40% (r.h.) study of temperature gradients with and without plate and mesh



# 4. Thermalizer plate and mesh of high conductivity (placed above T2)



### 40% (r.h.) study of temperature gradients and air velocity with and without mesh ring



# 5. Redesign of bottom cell: completely decouple $T_2$ from $T_3$ (stainless steel?)

#### 40% rh parametric study of T2 chiller height, goniometer base fixed at 0.048 m



## Suggestions for design modifications





- 1. Forced T gradient in upper cell *not* necessary  $(T_1=T_2 is ok)$
- 2. Stretched upper cell (maximize distance between  $T_1, T_2$ )
- 3. Heater on top of post, under goniometer  $(T_1=T_2=T_4)$

4. "Thermalizer" plate and mesh of high conductivity (placed above  $T_2$ )

5. Redesign of bottom cell: completely decouple  $T_2$  from  $T_3$  (stainless steel?)





## Old and new (and tube problem







# Old and new







## Tolerance of T<sub>bath</sub> uncertainty (above 90%r.h.







